UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/649,563	08/28/2000	Ashok V Joshi	MIC-98125CP01	7691
55162 CERAMATEC	7590 07/09/200 , INC.	8	EXAMINER	
2425 SOUTH 9	00 WEST	KIM, CHRISTOPHER S		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84119			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LHULSE@CERAMATEC.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/649,563	JOSHI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Christopher S. Kim	3752		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This      Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the second	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.24,27,28 and 31-33 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.24,27,28 and 31-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	own from consideration.  The state of the st			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 August 2000</u> is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/18/08.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 09/649,563 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 16, 2008 has been entered.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# **Priority**

3. Should applicant re-amend the claims to include the deleted limitation of the emanator material being physically separated from the housing, the following would be in effect:

Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 as follows:

The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional

application or provisional application). The disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

The disclosure of the prior-filed applications, Application Nos. 08/880,124 and 08/686,730, fails to provide adequate support or enablement in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for one or more claims of this application. The prior filed applications fail to disclose an emanator material physically separated from the housing as recited in claims 1, 28 and 29.

# **Drawings**

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "means for heating at least one of the volatile substance located within the housing and air located within the housing" recited in claims 1 and 28 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet,

Art Unit: 3752

and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claims 1, 24, 27, 28, 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 1 and 28 recite, "...means for heating at least one of the volatile substance located within the housing and air located within the housing..." It appears that such a limitation would not be readable on elected Species M, figure 14. Figure 14 shows heating element 123 below emanator pad 116. The emanator pad 116 is below

Art Unit: 3752

and separated from housing 112. The specification fails to teach how a heating element positioned below an emanator separated from a housing heats the volatile substance or air in the housing.

Claim 33 recites, "...further comprising means for heating the emanator material."

The specification fails to teach a means for heating volatile substance or air in the housing and a means for heating the emanator material.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. Claims 1, 24, 28, 31, 33 (as best understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohayon (5,810,253) in view of Muramoto et al. (4,477,414).

Ohayon discloses a device comprising: a housing 30; a volatile substance 100; means for orienting 20; controllably releasing means comprising a gas generating cell (column 8, lines 3-6, "pressure therein may be increased by an number of different ways known in the art including, e.g., a pump mechanism"); an emanator material 40; evaporation increasing means comprising means 62, 621 for increasing circulation of air.

Ohayon does not disclose a means for heating. Muramoto discloses a means 44 for heating an emanator material 16. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the heating means of Muramoto to the device of Ohayon to enhance evaporation.

If applicant's heating element 123 positioned below an emantor pad which heats the emanator pad is capable of heating the interior of a housing that is physically separated from the emanator pad, Muramoto's heating element must also be capable of heating the interior of the housing.

8. Claims 27 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohayon (5,810,253) in view of Muramoto et al. (4,477,414) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of DeLuca (4,294,778).

Ohayon in view of Muramoto discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of the fan. DeLuca discloses a fan 400. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the fan of DeLuca to the device of Ohayon in view of Muramoto to enhance evaporation.

#### Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 24, 27, 28, 31-33 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-4905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/649,563 Page 7

Art Unit: 3752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can be reached on (571) 272-1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Christopher S. Kim/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3752

CK